

The Reback Group, LLP

Attorneys At Law

August 18, 2005

Electronic Filing – Via ECFS

Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

**Re: Notice of *Ex Parte* Meeting
WC Docket No. 05-196**

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Pursuant to Section 1.1206 of the Federal Communications Commission's ("Commission") Rules, this letter provides notice in the above-captioned proceeding of an *ex parte* meeting described below. On August 17, 2005, Paul Erickson, Chairman, and Christina Fleming of SunRocket, Inc. and I met with Kathy Berthot, Cynthia Bryant, Joseph Casey, Marcy Greene, David Hunt, and Elizabeth Mumaw of the Commission's Enforcement Bureau and Nicholas Alexander of the Commission's Wireline Competition Bureau.

In this meeting, SunRocket first provided background on the Company and discussed the Company's long-standing efforts to provide E911 capability to its customers. The Company noted that 89% of its subscribers now have E911. The Company also reviewed its extensive and multi-faceted efforts to obtain acknowledgements from its customers that they had read and understood the differences between SunRocket 911 service and traditional E911 service. A copy of the presentation distributed at this meeting is attached to this letter.

The Company focused most of its discussion on its concern about potentially having to terminate or interrupt the service it provides to its non-acknowledging customers by August 30. SunRocket noted that a significant percentage of its subscribers have ported their previous wireline numbers to SunRocket, and thus are likely relying on SunRocket as the primary or sole means of phone service. The Company stated that if it were to disconnect such customers, simply because they have not acknowledged the Company's varied and repeated attempts to inform them of 911 limitations, these customers may well be left with no way to contact emergency services, whereas they currently are likely to have functioning E911 service. (SunRocket estimated that as many as 5-10% of its customers may not acknowledge the 911 limitations by the end of August, despite the Company's repeated and varied attempts to contact them.) SunRocket stated its belief that such a result would be contrary to the Commission's objective of enhancing public safety through the notification and acknowledgement requirements, and requested additional clarification from the Enforcement Bureau beyond that contained in the July 26, 2005 Public Notice.

Marlene H. Dortch
August 18, 2005
Page 2 of 2

Pursuant to the Commission's Rules, this letter is being submitted to the Secretary for filing in the above referenced proceeding. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

/s/

Sanford C. Reback
Managing Partner
The Reback Group, LLP
Counsel for SunRocket, Inc.

cc: Kathy Berthot
Cynthia Bryant
Joseph Casey
Marcy Greene
David Hunt
Elizabeth Mumaw
Nicholas Alexander